EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – ESS04 22/23

Dec	cision							
ı	Title of decision: Reinstatement of Charges for Non-Household Waste at Recycling Centres							
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Bill Wakeham, Cabinet Member for Environment & Street Scene							
3	Report author and contact details: Phil Rudin, Head of Strategic Contracts and Disposal, Street Services: Phil.Rudin@plymouth.gov.uk							
4	Decision to be taken: To reinstate charges for non-household waste at Recycling Centres and to approve the business case.							
5	Reasons for decision:							
	In January 2021 charges for disposing of plasterboard, soil and rubble and asbestos were introduced at Chelson Meadow HWRC.							
	The scheme operated successfully for 5 months and during that period a total of £56,000 was recovered in charges to offset disposal costs.							
	Charges were subsequently withdrawn in June 2021 following a change in political administration.							
	Against a backdrop of the unprecedented financial pressures the Council are facing this proposal has been put forward to reinstate the charges for the disposal of certain types of waste at the Chelson Meadow HWRC. Items which arise from DIY, construction and demolition works to a home are not legally classed as domestic waste and therefore a charge can be levied. The materials in scope are soil and rubble, plasterboard and asbestos. The Council currently accepts all these materials without charge but faces significant onward disposal costs, and therefore currently subsidises household construction projects indirectly.							
	There is a positive impact of £177,000 Per annum with no known risks related to this decision.							
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:							
	A do nothing option has been considered but discounted as it will not lead to the predicted changes in behaviour with regard to waste disposal or provide the forecast savings.							
7	Financial implications and risks:							
	None							
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	for further advice)		x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in				

					C 40 1111				
					excess of £3million in total				
				x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million				
				x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
		publication of the Forward Plan of Key	N/A						
9	linked to the C plan/Plymouth framework an	This proposal supports the Corporate Plan specified to the Council's corporate that it supports a green, sustainable city that care about the environment about the environment enue/capital budget:							
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)			It is predicted that the introduction of charges will drive a behaviour change amongst residents resulting in a reduction in waste being presented for disposal.					
				Waste minimisation is at the top of the Waste Hierarchy and regarded as the most environmentally beneficial approach to managing waste.					
Urge	ent decisions								
П	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the				(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)				
	public?	No	o × (If no, go to section 13a)						
I2a	Reason for ur	gency:							
I2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date					
	Scrutiny Committee name:								
	Print Name:								
Cons	sultation								
13a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?		Yes						
			No	x	(If no go to section 14)				
					,				

	port	folio is affected by the decision?								
13c	Date	Cabinet member consulted								
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		Yes		If yes, p Officer	lease discu	iss with	with the Monitoring		
			No	×						
15	Which Corporate Management		Name Anthony Payne							
	I ear	n member has been consulted?	Job title		Strategi	c Director	for Ped	ople		
			Date consulted		08 Nov	08 November 2022				
Sign	-off									
16	_	off codes from the relevant ertments consulted:		cratic latory)	Support		DS7	DS72 22/23		
			Finance (mandatory)			DJN	DJN.22.23.281			
			Legal (mandatory)				EJ/38	EJ/38851/16.11.22(3)		
			Human Resources (if applicable)			e) N/A	N/A			
			Corporate property (if applicable)			N/A	N/A			
			Procurement (if applicable)				N/A	N/A		
Арр	endic	es								
17	Ref.	Title of appendix								
	Α	Briefing report for publication								
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment								
	С	Business Case – Reinstatement of Ch	harges at HWRC							
C =		:-1/								
		ial/exempt information	Yes		lf von 2002		nd con	fidontial (Do not 112\	
18a Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information			res		briefing report and indi publication by virtue of					
			No			the Local Government relevant box in 18b		nt Act 1972 by ticking		
			(Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)							
			Exemption Paragraph Number							
			ı	2	3	4	5	6	7	

18b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title:				

Background Papers

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)	Exemption Paragraph Number							
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Cabinet Member Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature	5. Color	Date of decision	09 November 2022
Print Name	Councillor Bill Wakeham		